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MR. POTEET:
Kim, roll call, please.
MS. BARON:
John Poteet?
MR. POTEET:
Here.
MS. BARON: George Floyd?

MR. FLOYD: (No response.)

MS. BARON: Tony Cormier?

MR. CORMIER: Here.

MS. BARON: Matthew Pederson?

MR. PEDERSON: (No response.)

MS. BARON: Richard Watts?

MR. TAYLOR: Here.

MS. BARON: Steve Olave?

MR. OLAVE:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Ricky Donnell?
MR. DONNELL:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Darty Smith?
MR. SMITH:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Dino Taylor?
MR. TAYLOR:
Here.
MS. BARON: And Jefferey Britt?

MR. BRITT:
Here.
MS. BARON:
Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.
MR. POTEET:
Thank you. Anyone here today for public
comments?
(George Floyd enters the room.)

MS. BARON:
Let me check. There was supposed to be somebody coming. Let me check. It appears not.

MR. POTEET:
Okay. Thank you.
All right. We -- hopefully, everyone
had a chance to read the minutes from the last meeting. I need adoption -- approval of that. MR. OLAVE:

I make a motion we approve the
minutes.
MR. SMITH:
I second.
MR. POTEET:
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
The motion carries.
Next is financial matters. Mona, are
you ready?
MS. ANDERSON:

So if you turn in your binders to the financial statement for the month ending May 31, 2019. On page 1, the statement of net position, the total cash in the bank was $\$ 2,241,669$. Accounts receivable was $\$ 236,089$. There was no change in the non-current assets and the current liabilities at the bottom of the page were $\$ 48,713$.

On page 2, the deferred revenues for 2020 were $\$ 273,455$. Turning on to page 3, statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, the year-to-date revenues were $\$ 1,440,068$. And on pages 4 and 5 are the expenditures. They were normal expenditures. And on page 5 at the bottom of the page, the expenditures totaled $\$ 1,213,130$. Year-to-date net position at the bottom of that page is $\$ 226,938$ compared to $\$ 216,056$ last year. Page 6 is a four-year comparison of revenues. Revenues for 2018 in column 1 were -- the fee revenue was down slightly from the fiscal year -- the comparable fiscal year of 2016/17 and there's a graph of that chart on page 7. Page 8 is the year-to-date budget to actual expenditures. Page 9 is the certificate
of deposit summary. There were no changes in May.

And page 10, the accounts receivable hearings report, the fines of $\$ 16,400$ were assessed and the same amount was collected in payments. The balance at the end of May on the -- page 11 was $\$ 236,089$.

And unless there are any questions, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my report on the financial statements.

MR. POTEET:
All right. Does anybody have any questions?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
I need a motion to approve.
MR. BRITT:
I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:
Mr. Britt.
MR. OLAVE:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second by Mr. Olave.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right.
(Matthew Pederson enters the room.)
MR. POTEET:
Are we going to -- are we going to
talk about the proposed budget amendments here or?

MS. ANDERSON:
Yes, sir.
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Let's go ahead with that.
MS. ANDERSON:
Next in your binder is the -- are the proposed budget amendments for the 2018/19 fiscal year. That budget was prepared in October of 2017. So the budget amendments are primarily to move money among the various line items of the budget. There was no increase in the total budgeted expenditures this year. So funds were transferred -- if you'll note in the salaries line item, funds were transferred from
the office salaries to the field salaries and we added additional health insurance in the health insurance for the field. At the time the budget was made, we did not know what elections new employees would take. So we were under budget there. Vehicle maintenance on the second page was increased due to repairs that were required and vehicle supplies, which is our fuel also increased. Additional funds were added for the telephone expense due to the replacement of our outdated system and we're proud to say that that new system has been implemented. The net result was no change in expenses for the budget.

So unless there are any questions,
Mr. Chairman, that concludes my presentation on the budget amendments.

MR. POTEET:
All right.
MR. TAYLOR:
I make a motion we approve the budget amendments. MR. WATTS:

I second.
MR. POTEET:

Second Mr. Watts.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. I have to say -- I've got to make a comment here that legal was down $\$ 7,000$. Glen Robinson would be proud.

Next on our agenda is the
ratification of imposed penalties. Derek.
MR. PARNELL:
Yes. Commissioners, you will find in
your packet a chart that illustrates the licensees that have -- their license has been revoked. Please note that each person on the licensee list has gone through the revocation process.

Once again, I will announce the names of the licensees and have them revoked -- for the record, so it will be on the record about the licensees that were revoked.

Do we have anyone present?
MS. BARON:

I'll just check. I don't think so. Let me check. No, sir. MR. PARNELL:

First of all, this is Sherman Ashworth, doing business as Ashworth Motors, from Oakdale, Louisiana, which fine amount was \$1,400. Miami Auto Sales, Incorporated, from Lafayette, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 1,000$. Elizabeth Rane, doing business as Cheap Cars, LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 300$. Acadianawholesale.com, LLC, doing business as Acadianawholesale.com, from New Iberia, Louisiana, fine amount is \$250. Turbo Motors, Incorporated, from Baker, Louisiana, fine amount is $\$ 1,000$. Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the revocation of the dealers. I have imposed penalties -- imposed penalties of $\$ 3,950$. And, Commissioners, I ask that you ratify the imposed civil penalties just reviewed.

MR. POTEET:
I need a motion.
MR. CORMIER:
I make a motion.
MR. POTEET:

Motion Mr. Cormier.
MR. BRITT:
Second.
MR. POTEET:
Second from Mr. Britt.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
Okay. Speaking of revocations.
MR. PARNELL:
All right. Commissioners, you will also find an additional chart that illustrates the licensees whose licenses have been revoked. I will announce the names as well. Being that no one is here present, I'll go forward with the list. ATAR Auto Sales, LLC, from New Orleans, Louisiana, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of '19. American Auto Sales, LTD, from Slidell, Louisiana, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of 2019. Automotive Consultants of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, LLC, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of '19. Baker \& Rodrigue Auto Brokers, LLC, from Denham

Springs, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of 2019. BC Auto, LLC, from Belle Chasse, Louisiana, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of 2019. Best Muffler, LLC, doing business as Best Auto Sales, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, fine amount [sic] is 1/1 of 2019. Bill's Used Auto Parts, Incorporated, from New Orleans, Louisiana, fine amount -- $I$ mean, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of 2019. Gary M. Watson, doing business as Larose Auto Repair, from Larose, Louisiana, revocation date is 1/1 of 2019. Johnson Family Auto Sales, LLC, from Amite, Louisiana, revocation date is 4/4 of 2019. Premier Auto Sales, Incorporated, from Slidell, Louisiana, revocation date is $3 / 9$ of 2019. Prominent Motors, LLC, from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, revocation date is $1 / 1$ of 2019. Stevens Body \& Fender, Incorporated, from New Orleans, Louisiana, fine amount is $1 / 1$ of 2019 . Commissioners, $I$ ask that you ratify the revocation of dealers $I$ just announced. MR. OLAVE:

I make a motion, Mr. Chairman, we accept the ratification.

MR. SMITH:

I'll second.
MR. POTEET:
Second Darty.
All in favor, say, "Aye."
(All "Aye" responses.)
MR. POTEET:
Any opposed?
(No response.)
MR. POTEET:
All right. Next on the agenda would be the Executive Director's report.

MR. PARNELL:
Commissioners, you'll find the alleged issue count report. Total alleged issues for the month of May was 132 alleged issues. The next document that you will find is the case report. The case report illustrates the amount of cases that were assigned to the compliance investigators during the month. That month showed 59 cases were assigned. Eight of those cases were closed. 51 of those cases still remain open. The next document is the total number of cases that were closed in the month of May. There's 22 cases that were closed. Once again, we just notified
you-all that we did finalize the upgrade on our phone system. It's really working really well for us. We've still got a lot of learning to do with it, because it's kind of -- well, it's not too complicated, but it's a lot to do. And so one thing $I$ did want to bring up with you-all was, I did get a phone call from Office of Motor Vehicles, Jill Jarreau. She reached out to me and she sent an email as well to Lessie House, who is my counterpart with the New Car Commission. And what her concern was, she's -- they're -- Office of Motor Vehicle is looking at some possible legislation for next year for the amount of dealer plates that a used car dealer can possess. Currently, there is no limit to the amount of dealer plates that they can possess. What -- I will say about seven or eight years ago, there was talk of legislation that was -there was legislation that was drafted and they got a lot of push back from the new car dealerships. It was Bob Israel, I think it was, at the time. They kind of pushed back on limiting the amount of plates that a dealer could get. So they kind of -- that bill kind
of died out.
But what's happening now is that we're finding that a lot of these dealers -- we have some dealers -- what prompted her and made her look at it, they had one dealer, she looked in the system, he had 86 plates and he was walking in there trying to buy 25 more. And so we've been getting a lot of calls. Our investigators have been getting a lot of calls. We've got a lot of dealer plates -- Louisiana dealer plates ended up in New Jersey on ambulances and all kind of various things.

So my thought is -- what she's asking right now, she wants to know what would the limit be and what would the criteria of the plates -- the amount of plates that a dealer could have. I wanted some input from you-all before $I$ responded back to her. So just to see what your thoughts are.

MR. POTEET:
Anybody who's a dealer in here want to talk about that?

MR. DONNELL:
I mean, that's really the size of the dealer, you know.

MR. OLAVE:
If you start regulating it and you start talking about how many cars are selling, how big the -- I think you've got a nightmare as far as how you would regulate something like that. I could see dealers needing a few. I could see a dealer needing more, but we definitely don't need a dealer with 86 of them, I don't believe, you know.

MR. BRITT:
There has to be some accountability. MR. OLAVE:

Agreed.
MR. BRITT:
And looking it from a different view probably than what you guys look at it from, because I've been there in the middle of the night stopping a car with dealer tags and the guy driving the car is nowhere close to being a car dealer. So my point is, there just has to be some accountability on something that there's never been accountability for. It's been kind of a Car-Block's thing, you know. The truth is, Donnell and $I$ have been friends for 40 years. If $I$ wanted to get my salesman
license years ago, I could have been buying a vehicle through him and running dealer tags on it and -- you know, I mean -- and that happens, you know. So I guess what I'm saying is, anything gets abused and $I$ think it's finally beginning -- it's a statewide problem and everybody is seeing it now. So there's going to have to be an equal regulation between both commissions. There's got to be some give and take on both sides, but there's got to be a way to get the accountability part. And even if it's so much as the DMV, when that plate is assigned, having the accountability of who it's assigned to and, you know, it's just got to come up. And we've got some time to get a team together to come up with some ideas, but there's got to be some accountability, I mean. MR. POTEET:

What exactly -- I mean, what exactly
is a dealer plate for? Let's start with that. MR. HALLACK:

Well, the Office of Motor Vehicle
regulations say that the purpose for the one-year dealer plate is for demonstration. MR. POTEET:

Okay.
MR. HALLACK:
And $I$ think Kim is pulling it up.
MR. POTEET:
So the -- so the basic idea would be -- if we had something like that and start it from scratch, the basic idea would be you would need enough plates for, at the most, every car you have in inventory.

MR. HALLACK:
I would --
MR. DONNELL:
No, no.
MR. POTEET:
Wait, wait, wait, I'm saying at the
high end. You would need a plate for every car in inventory. That would be the most you would ever need. So if you're kind of looking at a -- you know, this is zero and this is 1,000 plates, based on how many cars you have, we need something that's sort of -- back to Jefferey's point, we need some kind of accountability, you know. I'm not sure that it's the number of plates, but how you assign the plates, and then the follow-up on the
accountability. I mean, to me, you know, I can see where somebody could steal a plate, but when you stop somebody and they obviously shouldn't have a plate, there should be a fine that goes to the plate.

MR. BRITT:
Yes, yes.
MR. HALLACK:
See, under our law, there is no
violation for misuse of the one-year dealer plate.

MR. POTEET:
Right.
MR. HALLACK:
There is a violation for misuse of a
temp tag and there is a violation for misuse of the five-day plate. But there is no violation under our law for the one-year hard plate. MR. POTEET:

Well, $I$ would ask the guys in the room that are actual dealers: What would be your idea to regulate this or what would be something to do? I mean.

MR. OLAVE:
I don't really know many dealers. I
mean, my experience is, $I$ don't know many people that put five-day tags or dealer tags on a vehicle before they demo. Some do. But, I mean, for the most part, you know, they don't use those tags for that. So I think most of the dealer tags I've seen are for personal vehicles or, you know, driving their own inventory. MR. POTEET:

Well, I had a -- I had a situation with one of my -- one of my managers -- I walked out and saw his car. It had a dealer plate on it. I went back in and I said, why do you have a dealer plate on your car? Oh, you know, so and so said $I$ can use that plate. I'm, like, take that plate off your car. I said that's a violation. And this is somebody that, you know, it just didn't occur to him. I mean, they were, like, oh, somebody has got a plate they said that $I$ can use, $I$ can use it. Now, that's one of my managers. I was appalled. MR. CORMIER:

And the liability of the dealer, they just don't realize what they're doing.

MR. BRITT:
Well, I had one of the troopers call me the other day. Did you talk -- did they call you about that?

MR. WISENOR:
Yes, sir. I gave him the law that -MR. BRITT:

What was his complaint? I mean.
MR. WISENOR:
He just wanted to know how they could be used, at what point -- you know, at what time. Was there any limitation on the time period during the day that they could be used, and $I$ just referred him to the law.

MR. BRITT:
Yes, because he -- because this
particular guy has got these cars out that he's financing and he's putting dealer tags on them, I think is what he's -- what he's ultimately doing.

MR. OLAVE:
Like, buy here, pay here cars?
MR. BRITT:
They own the wrecker yard. They own
this and they own that and a car lot and he's
just got everybody -- I bet -- Ricky, I bet by law you can stop by there this evening and get a tag put on, on your way back home. I mean, that kind of stuff is -- that's why this has kind of got to be -- it needs to be nipped out of the bud and get some regulation. MR. HALLACK:

I mean, we also have a situation
where -MR. OLAVE:

Is there a way -- is there a way to determine, like, what dealers have gotten the most tags, and then, maybe, go visit OMV? MR. PARNELL:

OMV -- yes, OMV would have -MR. OLAVE:

I mean, maybe, that's a good starting
point.
MR. WATTS:
Do we know how many dealer plates or
is that just DMV?
MR. PARNELL:
Yes. We don't have anybody policing
that.
MR. POTEET:

You know, if somebody has got 100 dealer plates and they've got 10 cars in inventory --

MR. PARNELL:
We saw one on sale online --
MR. POTEET:
Dealer plates?
MR. PARNELL:
-- selling dealer plates.
MR. DONNELL:
I don't know what this has to do with anything, but $I$ was at an LADA meeting about three months ago and Will Green was telling us that the Department of Revenue is after some of these new car dealers for use tax. And I don't know if they're trying to track it by dealer plates or what it's going to be. But it was some pretty big tax bills. And they had some legislation in it. I don't think it went anywhere, but it's coming back next year.

MR. HALLACK:
We have four dealers who all they did was sell dealer plates. They had no vehicles out for display for sale. One was an empty building.

MR. OLAVE:
Can we look at the dealer tag that's
for sale, and then cross-reference it with who had the tag?

MR. HALLACK:
Well --
MR. OLAVE:
And then -- and then, I mean.
MS. BARON:
OMV does.
MR. HALLACK:
Office of Motor Vehicles doesn't want
to regulate it, for whatever reason, and it doesn't come under our law, because it's a nuisance. So, I mean.

MR. BRITT:
Well, they're the ones that came to
you, though.
MR. PARNELL:
Yes, yes. I think now --
MR. BRITT:
They want our input.
MR. PARNELL:
-- it's more of a national concern
now. I believe at this point, they're going to
have to start.
MS. MORRIS:
When their license is revoked, we have a -- we make a list and send it to stacey Hoyt and another person at Motor Vehicle and they're supposed to revoke those plates. MR. POTEET:

That would be a question I would have for people in law enforcement. Do you -- like, when you stop somebody, you run their plate, right?

MR. BRITT:
Oh, yes.
MR. POTEET:
So do you ever come up with dealer
plates that are no longer valid or?
MR. BRITT:
Oh, I've seen that in the -- back in
the old days.
MR. POTEET:
So that --
MR. BRITT:
Today's technology is way better than what it was in my day, but aren't dealer plates non-published plates?

MR. ESPONGE:
No. They'll come back to the dealership.

MR. BRITT:
They'll come back to the dealership. MR. WISENOR:

Well, at one time, they were not -well, you couldn't even -MR. BRITT:

They were kind of like a no record found plate. MR. DONNELL:

No record found.
MS. BARON:
Yes, but we can't --
MR. WISENOR:
But, now --
MR. CORMIER:
You get a registration with it now showing your name on it. MR. BRITT:

Do we get a notice of -- all right, say, I don't know, somebody's used car lot in here, do you get a -- with their paperwork, do y'all get a notice of how many tags they've
been issued?
MS. BARON:
No.
MR. ESPONGE:
No. We have to call Office of Motor Vehicles.

MR. BRITT:
See, that's one thing that needs to be -- I would think that would benefit everybody. If they pre-apply for a used car license and I want 15 dealer tags -- used dealer tags, then those dealer tag numbers ought to be in a database for you to retrieve or for these guys to be able to retrieve like this instead of all the reaching out that they have to do.

MS. BARON:
I called the Office of Motor Vehicles
the other -- I called the Office of Motor
Vehicles the other day, because we had a dealer that had 68, 67 tags. He had canceled 15 of those, but he still had, you know, a lot more than he should. And he had not made one sale the whole year. So he wasn't selling cars, but he was just wanting these plates.

MR. WATTS:
Renting them out.
MS. BARON:
Yes. And I -- so I contacted them and asked them, you know, just a heads up, you know, we haven't revoked -- we have put a five-day revocation, but it wasn't for that. It was something else. And they came in and fixed that part. So we -- the five-day revocation became invalid, because they fixed whatever was wrong with that. And she said -their reply to me was that we cannot deny them if they come in to get plates. No matter how many they already have, we cannot deny them. MR. OLAVE:

Yes, because there's no rules.
MS. BARON:
Yes. There's no rule in place.
MR. OLAVE:
Right, right.
MS. BARON:
There's no rule in place.
MR. BRITT:
And I guaranty you, that guy you're talking about, he had a dealer tag on his RV.

He had a dealer tag on his pickup, his wife's vehicle and his vehicle, not to mention his four-wheeler.

MS. BARON:
She was asking if we could revoke or suspend him, so they could give a reason why they couldn't, you know, do anything. And I said, well, we've been contacted by the state police.

MR. POTEET:
It sounds to me like they want us to
regulate their --
MS. BARON:
They do.
MR. BRITT:
We need the revenue, too, for the
plates.
MR. TAYLOR:
Robert, if we adopt some of their
language, part of their language, and we have a trigger that if they have over $X$ amount that we go investigate that dealer, and if we've adopted what the usage of the plate is for and they're violating that, then we can regulate it. And that way, we're not worried about what
a number is. I've got 57 employees. I only have 15 plates. Three of them are spouse -two -- just recently, it's two spouse plates. Robert, can we -- is that --

MR. HALLACK:
It's a three word change. It's an amendment that has three words. All you've got to do is add the one-year dealer plate to the misuse statute. That's all you've got to do. Now, of the four guys that we found out who are doing nothing but selling these plates, once we saw them and saw that the dealership was non-existent, we immediately revoked their license. So the four people that were selling plates only, they were all
non-resident. They were -- they had, like I said, no vehicles up for display. So the sole purpose for their existence was to buy and sell plates. So once we found them and realized what they were doing, their license got revoked and they couldn't get another one. MR. OLAVE:

They had to -- they had to pass
inspection, though, to get the license to begin with, right?

MR. HALLACK:
They would have a store front and a sign and everything to get it, but they never bought any vehicles. They never sold any vehicles. So the other huge problem that we have is that we have one dealer who was letting his kid ride around on his dealer plate, a teenager, and the teenager was in a wreck and killed somebody. Well, the garage liability insurance would not apply, because he had an exclusion for anybody less than 21. But that's the reason why they get the dealer plates, is because of the cheap insurance, the garage liability insurance.

MR. CORMIER:
Did we try to check to see what the other states do, see how they handle the dealer plates?

MS. BARON:
We've never really looked into that.
MR. HALLACK:
But we do have pictures --
MS. BARON:
You know, they didn't want to do anything about putting a hiatus on it. So we
just were, like, okay, you know, because, I mean, it's their law, their rule.

MR. PARNELL:
And the Jersey state police have pictures and talked about there was an ambulance in Jersey with a Louisiana dealer plate.

MR. POTEET:
Well, I guess -- I guess, you know,
for our part, when you get to your summit meeting with these guys, I guess would be to say, so what do you want us to do? We could suggest something like Dino was saying, which is we put it into our statute, and then we can use it to, you know, get people in violation.

I mean, what do you guys think back there?

MR. WISENOR:
During the seminar whenever anybody asks is a dealer required to pay how many tags, I always tell them to go to their insurance company, because a lot of times, they will advise how many they recommend. Now, I'm not -- that doesn't always make it better. But that's where -- a lot of the calls, too, is
liability. They don't want all these tags floating around out there. That's more liability, I would think, but.

MR. ESPONGE:
My opinion is, one tag per licensed salesperson is reasonable to me. MR. WISENOR:

Well, at one time, you had to have a salesman license to even operate under -- which is -- according to the way the law reads, a licensed sales representative can use that tag for any purpose. So I think that may still apply somewhat, but it just depends on how they're being used. MR. PARNELL:

And that language about seven or eight years ago is kind of -- it was exactly what Perry just said. It really dealt with how many sales -- licensed salespersons you had, the amount of dealer plates you can have. But, again, that legislation went away, so. MR. BRITT:

Who made that go away? The New Car Commission didn't like it?

MR. PARNELL:

LADA. From what she explained to us, Bob Israel, who was the -MR. POTEET:

LADA lobbied against it.
Any other comments? Do we have any
more questions, Derek?
MR. PARNELL:
No. But if y'all have any -- what I'll do is, I'll just reach out and have a conversation -- start the conversation process and see what thoughts they're thinking about. MR. POTEET:

It would be interesting to see what the New Car Commission thinks since it was the LADA that lobbied against the last time it was tried.

MR. PARNELL:
Commissioners, we are on the last pages right now of finalizing access to the Office of Motor Vehicles site. So we set up a computer in the back and are kind of in a battle right now to get it set up properly. So we -MR. BRITT:

Now, will the guys have access to
everything?
MR. PARNELL:
Not everything, no.
MR. BRITT:
Okay.
MR. PARNELL:
No. That was -- we had to sign saying that it couldn't be in a mobile setting, you know, because of the confidential information that we will have access to. So we'll have -- two persons here in the office that had to a get a federal drug screen and all that stuff, a federal background check. And so they don't have access to it. It will be Kim, and me, and Tonya, so.

MR. BRITT:
So the guys in the field will call in
to you.
MR. PARNELL:
All right. Well, that's all $I$ have. MR. POTEET:

All right. Well, $I$ guess at this point, we're ready to adjourn, and then come back in a few minutes to do our hearings, right.
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